#### OFFICE OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY AND BIOPHARMACEUTICS REVIEW

**NDA** 20-560 (b) (4) 038: BB: BB

**Submission Dates** January 31, 2003; April 24, 2003; July 2, 2003

Brand Name FOSAMAX®

**ORM division** Metabolic and Endocrine (HFD-510)

Sponsor Merck Research Laboratories

Relevant IND(s)

Submission Type: Code pediatric study report for exclusivity: priority

Formulation: Strength(s) 5. 10. 35. and 70 mg oral tablets

Indication

# 1 Executive Summary

Alendronate sodium, a bisphosphonate, is approved to treat and prevent osteoporosis in postmenopausal women, treat osteoporosis in men, treat glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis, and treat Padget's disease. The sponsor submitted supplemental NDA 20-560 in response to the Food and Drug Administration's October 27, 2000 pediatric study Written Request and its March 8, 2002 amendment to seek the following for alendronate sodium:

(b) (4)



The sponsor conducted 2 clinical studies to satisfy the pediatric study Written Request and submitted the results in supplemental NDA 20-560. Briefly, the 2 studies are:

- 1. an efficacy and safety study (P135) to compare the effects of alendronate (5 or 10 mg daily) versus placebo, on pediatric patients aged 4 through 18 years with severe OI for: (1) change in mean lumbar spine (L1 to L4) bone mineral density at Month 12 and (2) safety and tolerability.
- 2. an absolute oral bioavailability study (P172) for the 35 and 70 mg alendronate oral tablets as compare to an 125 μg alendronate intravenous injection (2.5 mg/mL) in OI pediatric patients.

Per Study P172, the mean alendronate oral bioavailability (95% CI) with respect to a 125  $\mu g$  intravenous dose was 0.43% (0.28%, 0.64%) for OI pediatric patients weighing < 40 kg who received 35 mg oral dose and was 0.56% (0.36%, 0.87%) for OI pediatric patients weighing  $\geq$  40 kg who received 70 mg oral dose. The alendronate oral bioavailability is similar between OI patients and adults (historical data).

See medical officer's review for Study P135.

### 1.1. Recommendation

The Office of Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics/Division of Pharmaceutical Evaluation II (OCPB/DPEII) has reviewed the Human Pharmacokinetics and Bioavailability section for supplemental NDA 20-560 and finds it acceptable. The sponsor should receive the labeling comments below (addition is underscored and deletion appears as strikethrough):

CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY Special Populations	
Pediatric:	(b) (4)
S.W. Johnny Lau, R.Ph., Ph.D. OCPB/DPEII	
An Optional Intra-Division Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics Briefing for supp NDA 20-560 was conducted on June 26, 2003; participants included H. Malinowski, J. Hurand J. Lau.	
FT signed by Hae-Young Ahn, Ph.D., Team Leader	/03

2 Table of Contents				
1	Executive Summary 1.1 Recommendations	1 2		
2	Table of Contents	3		
3	Summary of Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics Findings	4		
4	Question Based Review			
	4.1 Background	5		
	4.2 General Clinical Pharmacology	5		
	4.3 Bioanalytical	5		
	4.4 Biopharmaceutics	6		
5	Labeling Comments	8		

# 3 Summary of Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics Findings

The sponsor conducted Study P172 for alendronate to:

- determine the oral BA with respect to an intravenous dose in OI pediatric patients
- compare the oral BA results in OI pediatric patients to those for the historical adult data.

This study is part of the requirement to satisfy the pediatric study Written Request issued by the Office of Drug Evaluation II, Center of Drug Evaluation and Research, Food and Drug Administration on October 27, 2000.

Study P172 was a 2-period, randomized, single-dose, crossover, pharmacokinetic study conducted in 24 OI pediatric patients. Patients < 40 kg body weight received 2 single alendronate doses: a 35 mg oral tablet and a 125  $\mu$ g intravenous dose. Patients  $\geq$  40 kg body weight also received 2 single alendronate doses: a 70 mg oral tablet and a 125  $\mu$ g intravenous dose. The washout period was at least 2 weeks. All alendronate administration was after an overnight fast. Urine samples were collected for 24 hours postdose for alendronate concentration determination.

The mean alendronate oral bioavailability (95% CI) with respect to a 125  $\mu$ g intravenous dose was 0.43% (0.28%, 0.64%) for OI pediatric patients weighing < 40 kg who received 35 mg oral dose and was 0.56% (0.36%, 0.87%) for OI pediatric patients weighing  $\geq$  40 kg who received 70 mg oral dose.

In comparison, the mean oral bioavailability (95% CI) in the prespecified adult historical control group was 0.65% (0.54%, 0.77%). The geometric mean ratio (GMR) of bioavailability for OI pediatric patients weighing < 40 kg compared to the adult historical controls was 0.63, with a 95% CI of (0.39, 1.04). The GMR of bioavailability for OI pediatric patients weighing  $\geq$  40 kg compared with the adult historical controls was 0.86, with a 95% CI of (0.52, 1.41). The alendronate oral bioavailability was similar between OI pediatric patients and adults (historical data).

The sponsor also conducted Study P135 (efficacy and safety study) to satisfy the pediatric study Written request. See medical officer's review for Study P135.

#### 4 **Question-Based Review**

### 4.1 Background

Osteogenesis imperfecta (OI) or "brittle bone disease" is a group of hereditary disorders of Type I collagen, characterized by osteoporosis and extreme bone fragility, which leads to fractures, chronic unremitting bone pain, severe skeletal deformities, short stature, and functional limitation. Alendronate sodium, marketed as FOSAMAX<sup>®</sup>, is a bisphosphonate that acts as a specific inhibitor of osteoclast-mediated bone resorption to treat and prevent osteoporosis. The sponsor primarily seeks alendronate sodium's pediatric exclusivity

The sponsor conducted a human absolute oral bioavailability study (P172) and a clinical safety and efficacy study (P135) in OI pediatric patients. Study P172 used the 35 mg oral alendronate single dose for patients < 40 kg body weight and 70 mg oral alendronate single dose for patients  $\ge$  40 kg body weight. Whereas, Study P135 used the 5 mg oral alendronate daily dose for patients  $\le$  40 kg body weight and 10 mg oral alendronate daily dose for patients  $\ge$  40 kg body weight. Alendronate doses differed between the 2 studies because the sponsor was requested to switch patients on 5 and 10 mg once-daily dosing to 35 mg and 70 mg once-weekly dosing, respectively, during Year 2 per the Written Request.

# 4.2 General Clinical Pharmacology

Alendronate clinical pharmacology information is available in:

- product labeling
- A.G. Porras et al. Pharmacokinetics of alendronate. *Clin Pharmacokinet* **36**:315-28 (1999).
- J.H. Lin. Bisphosphonates: a review of their pharmacokinetic properties. *Bone* **18**:75-85 (1996).

#### 4.3 Bioanalytical

### Are the bioanalytical methods for alendronate properly validated?

Yes. Briefly, the alendronate bioanalytical method in human urine samples had 3 steps:

- (1) isolation, via precipitation and solid phase extraction of the analyte from urine,
- (2) automated pre-column derivatization to form fluorescent products of the analytes, and
- (3) high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) separation and fluorescence detection of the resulting derivatives.

Validation for the alendronate bioanalytical method in human urine samples follows:

	Alendronate
Method	HPLC - fluorescent quantification
LLOQ, ng/mL	1  ng/mL, $> 15%$ below LLOQ = not quantifiable
Recovery, %	not available
Linearity, ng/mL	1-25  ng/mL
Accuracy	
intraday	97.2 – 106.0%
interday	93.6 – 113.5%
Precision, % CV	
intraday	3.0 - 7.5%
interday	5.4 - 9.3%

LLOQ = lower limit of quantitation

### 4.4 Biopharmaceutics

#### 1. Were the formulation tested in Studies P172 and P135 identical to the marketed formulation?

Yes. The formulations of the 35 and 70 mg alendronate oral tablets tested in Study P172 were the same as those to the marketed tablets. The formulation of the IV alendronate solution tested in Study P172 was the same as those tested under IND (b) (4) to determine alendronate oral bioavailability (BA). The formulation of the 5 and 10 mg alendronate tablets tested in Study P135 were the same as those to the marketed tablets.

## 2. Did the sponsor adequately assess the alendronate absolute BA in OI patients?

Yes. Briefly, Study P172 was an open-label, 2-period, randomized, single-dose, crossover, pharmacokinetic study conducted in 24 OI pediatric patients, 12 patients each for the < 40 kg and  $\geq$  40 kg body weight groups. Patients < 40 kg body weight received 2 single alendronate doses: a 35 mg oral tablet and a 125 µg intravenous dose. Patients  $\geq$  40 kg body weight received 2 single alendronate doses: a 70 mg oral tablet and a 125 µg intravenous dose. The washout period was at least 2 weeks. An 11-hour overnight fast preceded all alendronate dosing, which was administered with 180 mL tap water. Patients received a standardized meal 2 hours after dosing. Alendronate was intravenously infused over 2 hours. Urine samples were collected for 24 hours postdose for alendronate concentration determination. Absolute oral alendronate BA was assessed as the total urinary excretion over 24 hours following the 35 or 70 mg tablet relative to the 125 µg IV administration. The urinary excretion data was normalized to 1 mg dose for both oral and IV administration.

The sponsor's absolute alendronate oral BA assessment follows:

- 0.43% with 95% CI (0.28% 0.64%) for < 40 kg OI patients administered 35 mg oral dose vs. 125  $\mu$ g IV dose
- 0.56% with 95% CI (0.36% 0.87%) for  $\geq$  40 kg OI patients administered 70 mg oral dose vs. 125 µg IV dose

This reviewer repeated the analyses and observed the same results as the sponsor's assessment above (see Attachment).

Summary Statistics for Bioavailability (%) of Alendronate for Adult and Pediatric Patients

Population	N	LS Mean (%)	Media n (%)	Min (%)	Max (%)	Standard Deviation (%)	GMR to Adult	95% CI for GMR
<40 kg ≥40 kg Adult	12 12 86	0.41 0.56 0.65	0.40 0.64 0.68	0.18 0.09 0.03	1.74 1.27 8.17	0.45 0.33 1.02	0.63 0.86	(0.39, 1.04) (0.52, 1.41)
RMSE=0.810								
Pooled Pediatric Adult	24	0.48	0.48	0.09	1.74 8.17	0.39	0.74	(0.51, 1.07)

#### RMSE=0.809

LS = Least-squares (back-transformed from the log scale).

 $GMR = Geometric\ mean\ ratio.$ 

CI = Confidence interval.

RMSE = Root Mean Square Error from the analysis of variance model.

Data Source: [2.1]

The overall geometric mean (95% CI) BA for adult patients in the preselected 4 historical studies was 0.65% (0.54%, 0.77%) (See table above). The participants in these 4 studies (65, 69, 74, and 144) represented female and male from the age range of 21 to 76. Per the table above, the geometric mean ratio (GMR) of BA in OI pediatric patients weighing < 40 kg, relative to the adult population, was 0.63, with a 95% CI of (0.39, 1.04). The GMR of BA in pediatric patients weighing  $\geq 40$  kg, relative to the adult population, was 0.86, with a 95% CI of (0.52, 1.41). The GMR of BA in the pooled OI pediatric patient population relative to the adult population, was 0.74, with a 95% CI of (0.51, 1.07). The log BA versus body weight figure below graphically demonstrates the similarity of BA between OI patients and adults (historical data).

Oral Bioavailability (Log-Scale) Versus Weight (kg) for Pediatric Patients and Adult Historical Controls 3 -Δ 2 Δ 1 Log Bioavailability (%) 0 Δ 0  $\circ$ Δ 0 Δ 0 0 Δ 0 -2 Δ -3 Δ 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 100 110

Weight (kg)

○ Pediatric Patients Less Than 40 kg
 ● Pediatric Patients Greater Than or Equal to 40 kg
 △ Adult Historical Controls

The GMR with 95% CI of the total alendronate urinary excretion after the oral administration to intravenous administration in the 2 dose groups of OI patients and the GMR with 95% CI of the total alendronate urinary excretion of pooled OI pediatric patients to adults were all identical to the corresponding BA assessment as shown above. The dose-adjusted GMR of total urinary excretion after IV dosing for pediatric patients weighing < 40 kg, relative to the adult population, was 1.09, with a 95% CI of (0.92, 1.29) (see table below). The dose-adjusted GMR of total urinary excretion after IV dosing for pediatric patients weighing  $\ge 40 \text{ kg}$ , relative to the adult population, was 1.08, with a 95% CI of (0.91, 1.28). The GMR ratio of total urinary excretion after IV dosing for the pooled pediatric patient population, relative to the adult population, was 1.09, with a 95% CI of (0.96, 1.23). This is consistent that the total alendronate urinary excretion data upon intravenous administration is similar between OI pediatric patients and adults (historical data).

Summary Statistics for Total Urinary Excretion of Alendronate (µg) for Adult and Pediatric Patients After an IV Dose (Dose-Adjusted to 1 mg)

Population	N	LS Mean (µg)	Median (µg)	Min (μg)	Max (μg)	GMR to Adult	95% CI for GMR
<40 kg	12	442.0	459.5	313.4	518.9	1.09	(0.92, 1.29)
≥40 kg	12	438.5	440.5	336.6	572.9	1.08	(0.91, 1.28)
Adult	86	405.3	420.3	158.6	803.3		196000000000000000000000000000000000000
Pooled Pediatric Adult	24 86	440.2	459.5 420.3	313.4 158.6	572.9 803.3	1.09	(0.96, 1.23
RMSE  =0.280			,				
LS = Least-squa							
GMR = Geomet							
CI = Confidence RMSE = Root N							

Data Source: [2.1]

#### 5 Labeling Comments

CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY

Special Populations
Pediatric:

See the added (underscored) and deleted (strikethrough) statements above.

(b) (4)

# Attachment

# SAS code for assessing alendronate absolute BA in < 40 kg OI patients:

```
data fosamax;
infile 'C:\510 review\osteo\bisphosphonate\NDA\20560\u120560.txt';
input sub per seg trt $ amt amtpermg;
lnamtpermg=log(amtpermg);
proc sort data = fosamax;
by trt;
proc means data = fosamax;
by trt;
proc glm data = fosamax;
 class sub per seq trt;
 model lnamtpermg = seq sub(seq) per trt;
 test h = seq e = sub(seq);
 estimate 'ORAL1 vs. IV1' trt -1 1;
lsmeans trt / pdiff cl alpha=0.05;
 proc print data = fosamax;
run;
```

### SAS Output for assessing alendronate absolute BA in < 40 kg OI patients: 17:44 Thursday, June 19, 2003

----- trt=IV1 -----

The SAS System

The MEANS Procedure

	Variable	N	Mean	Std Dev	Minimum	
Maximum	ı					
ffffff	fffffffffffff	ffffffff.	ffffffffffffffffff	ffffffffffffff.	ffffffffffffffffff	fff
13.0000	sub 0000	12	7.2500000	3.9571569	1.0000000	
2.00000	per	12	1.4166667	0.5149287	1.0000000	
_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	seq	12	1.5833333	0.5149287	1.0000000	
2.00000	amt	12	47.0116667	5.4314234	33.4700000	
55.2600	0000 amtpermg	12	445.5846667	56.0215671	313.3895000	
518.943	37000 lnamtpermq	12	6.0913502	0.1361541	5.7474468	
6.25179	1 2	-2	0.0313302	0.1301341	3.7171100	

----- trt=ORAL1 -----\_\_\_\_\_

Variable Maximum	N	Mean	Std Dev	7 Minim	um		
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff	fffffffff <b>12</b>	7.2500000	3.9571569				
per 2.0000000	12	1.5833333	0.5149287	1.00000	00		
seq 2.0000000	12	1.5833333	0.514928	1.00000	00		
amt 245.9800000	12	78.4650000	62.9086046	24.22000	00		
amtpermg 7.0481380	12	2.2482808	1.8025389	0.69398	30		
1.9527635	12	0.5936822	0.6514070	-0.36530	78		
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff							
June <b>19</b> , <b>2003 2</b>							
		The (	GLM Procedure	2			
		Class Le	evel Informat	cion			
	Class	Levels	Values				
	sub	12	1 2 3 5 6	7 8 9 10 11 12	13		
	per	2	1 2				
	seq	2	1 2				
	trt	2	IV1 ORAL1				
June 19, 2003 3			observations SAS System		hursday,		
		The (	GLM Procedure	2			
Dependent Variable:	lnamtper	mg					
Source Pr > F		DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value		
Model <.0001		13 184	1.2504476	14.1731114	72.05		
Error		10 1	.9672379	0.1967238			

23 186.2176855

Corrected Total

	R-Square	Coeff V	ar Root MS	SE lnamtpermg	Mean
	0.989436	13.269	51 0.44353	36 3.3	42516
Source Pr > F		DF	Type I SS	Mean Square	F Value
seq		1	0.3918587	0.3918587	1.99
0.1885 sub(seq)		10	2.1081539	0.2108154	1.07
0.4575 per		1	8.2447840	8.2447840	41.91
<.0001 trt <.0001		1	173.5056510	173.5056510	881.98
Source Pr > F		DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value
seq <b>0.1885</b>		1	0.3918587	0.3918587	1.99
sub(seq)		10	2.1081539	0.2108154	1.07
per 0.1822		1	0.4043091	0.4043091	2.06
trt <.0001		1	173.5056510	173.5056510	881.98
Tests o	of Hypotheses	Using th	e Type III MS f	For sub(seq) as	an Error
Source Pr > F		DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value
seq 0.2027		1	0.39185872	0.39185872	1.86
			5	Standard	
Parameter  t	•	Es	timate	Error t Va	lue Pr >
ORAL1 vs.	IV1	-5.45	379005 0.1	L836 <b>4</b> 117 -29	.70
June 19, 2003 4			The SAS System	n <b>17:44</b> T	hursday,
			he GLM Procedur ast Squares Mea		
	t:	rt	lnamtpermg LSMEAN	H0:LSMean1= LSMean2 Pr >  t	

IV1	6.09100979	<.0001
ORAL1	0.63721974	

trt	Inamtpermg LSMEAN	95% Confidence	e Limits
IV1	6.091010	5.801677	6.380342
ORAL1	0.637220	0.347887	0.926552

### Least Squares Means for Effect trt

		Difference Between	95% Confidence	e Limits for
i	j	Means	LSMean(i)-L	SMean(j)
1	2	<b>5.453790</b> The	<b>5.044612</b> SAS System	5.862968 17:44 Thursday,

June 19, 2003 5

Obs	sub	per	seq	trt	amt	amtpermg	lnamtpermg
1	1	1	2	IV1	33.47	313.390	5.74745
2	2	2	1	IV1	43.75	414.692	6.02754
3	3	1	2	IV1	55.26	512.141	6.23860
4	5	2	1	IV1	50.56	472.965	6.15902
5	6	1	2	IV1	48.92	455.918	6.12231
6	7	1	2	IV1	48.56	476.078	6.16558
7	8	2	1	IV1	49.42	451.324	6.11219
8	9	1	2	IV1	49.74	463.129	6.13800
9	10	2	1	IV1	50.60	464.220	6.14036
10	11	2	1	IV1	44.47	405.748	6.00573
11	12	1	2	IV1	45.20	518.944	6.25180
12	13	1	2	IV1	44.19	398.467	5.98762
13	1	2	2	ORAL1	24.22	0.694	-0.36531
14	2	1	1	ORAL1	157.02	4.499	1.50389
15	3	2	2	ORAL1	35.87	1.028	0.02741
16	5	1	1	ORAL1	29.95	0.858	-0.15296
17	6	2	2	ORAL1	48.88	1.401	0.33688
18	7	2	2	ORAL1	69.06	1.979	0.68249
19	8	1	1	ORAL1	52.61	1.507	0.41042
20	9	2	2	ORAL1	73.97	2.119	0.75117
21	10	1	1	ORAL1	75.99	2.177	0.77811
22	11	1	1	ORAL1	245.98	7.048	1.95276
23	12	2	2	ORAL1	71.55	2.050	0.71791
24	13	2	2	ORAL1	56.48	1.618	0.48140

# SAS code for assessing alendronate absolute BA in $\geq$ 40 kg OI patients:

```
data fosamax;
infile 'C:\510 review\osteo\bisphosphonate\NDA\20560\u220560.txt';
input sub per seq trt $ amt amtpermg;
lnamtpermg=log(amtpermg);
proc sort data = fosamax;
```

```
by trt;
proc means data = fosamax;
by trt;
proc glm data = fosamax;
class sub per seg trt;
model lnamtpermg = seq sub(seq) per trt;
test h = seq e = sub(seq);
estimate 'ORAL2 vs. IV2' trt -1 1;
lsmeans trt / pdiff cl alpha=0.05;
proc print data = fosamax;
run;
SAS Output for assessing alendronate absolute BA in \geq 40 kg OI patients:
              17:51 Thursday, June 19, 2003
The SAS System
----- trt=IV2 ------
                         The MEANS Procedure
     Variable N
                        Mean
                                Std Dev Minimum
Maximum
12
                   22.5000000
                               3.6055513
                                        17.0000000
28.0000000
     per
              12
                    1.5000000
                               0.5222330
                                          1.0000000
2.0000000
                    1.5000000
                                          1.0000000
              12
                               0.5222330
     sea
2.0000000
     amt
              12
                   48.3983333
                             10.1204589
                                        35.6500000
62.7900000
              12 446.5684833 88.7606363 336.6383000
     amtpermg
572.9015000
     lnamtpermq
              12
                    6.0832550
                               0.2006009
                                          5.8190091
6.3507138
----- trt=ORAL2 -----
     Variable N
                                 Std Dev
                                          Minimum
                        Mean
Maximum
22.5000000
                               3.6055513 17.0000000
     sub
              12
28.0000000
             12 1.5000000
                            0.5222330 1.0000000
     per
2.0000000
             12
                   1.5000000
                               0.5222330 1.0000000
     seq
```

2.0000000

amt 313.8400000 amtpermg 4.5091950 lnamtpermg 1.5061186	12	195.4283333	86.4450071	36.3100000				
	12	2.8078783	1.2420259	0.5216950				
	12	0.8925867	0.6311750	-0.65067	22			
ffffffffffffffffff	fffffffff							
June 19, 2003 2 The SAS System 17:51 Thursday,								
The GLM Procedure								
		Class	Level Informat	ion				
	Class	Levels						
sub per seq trt		12	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28					
		2	1 2					
		2	1 2 IV2 ORAL2					
		2						
Number of observations 24 The SAS System 17:51 Thursday, June 19, 2003 3								
The GLM Procedure								
Dependent Variable	: lnamtper	rmg						
			Sum of					
Source Pr > F		DF	Squares	Mean Square	F Value			
Model <.0001		13	164.0930221	12.6225402	52.81			
Error		10	2.3900516	0.2390052				
Corrected Total		23	166.4830737					
	R-Square	e Coeff Va	r Root MSE	lnamtpermg	Mean			
0.985644		14.0164	2 0.488882	3.487921				
Source Pr > F		DF	Type I SS	Mean Square	F Value			
seq		1	0.2866738	0.2866738	1.20			
0.2991			14					

sub(seq) 0.7106	10	1.6660625	0.1666062	0.70		
per	1	0.4820603	0.4820603	2.02		
0.1860 trt	1	161.6582256	161.6582256	676.38		
<.0001						
Source	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value		
Pr > F		11	-			
seq 0.2991	1	0.2866738	0.2866738	1.20		
sub(seq)	10	1.6660625	0.1666062	0.70		
0.7106 per	1	0.4820603	0.4820603	2.02		
0.1860 trt	1	161.6582256	161.6582256	676.38		
<.0001						
Tests of Hypothese	s Using t	the Type III MS f	or sub(seq) as	an Error		
Term						
Source Pr > F	DF	Type III SS	Mean Square	F Value		
seq	1	0.28667375	0.28667375	1.72		
0.2189						
		S	tandard			
Parameter  t	I	Estimate	Error t Va	lue Pr >		
ORAL2 vs. IV2	-5.1	19066832 0.1	.9958505 -26	.01		
<.0001		The SAS System	17:51 T	hursday,		
June <b>19</b> , <b>2003 4</b>						
	The GLM Procedure Least Squares Means					
	1					
	1	∟east Squares Mea	ns H0:LSMean1=			
	ı trt	Least Squares Mea	ns			
		Least Squares Mea lnamtpermg	ns H0:LSMean1= LSMean2			
	trt	Least Squares Mea lnamtpermg LSMEAN	ns H0:LSMean1= LSMean2 Pr >  t			
	trt IV2 ORAL2	least Squares Mea  lnamtpermg LSMEAN  6.08325500 0.89258668	ns H0:LSMean1= LSMean2 Pr >  t			
	trt IV2 ORAL2 lnamt	least Squares Mea  lnamtpermg LSMEAN  6.08325500 0.89258668	ns H0:LSMean1= LSMean2 Pr >  t	S		
	trt IV2 ORAL2 Inamt I	least Squares Meal lnamtpermg LSMEAN 6.08325500 0.89258668 Epermg LSMEAN 95% C	H0:LSMean1= LSMean2 Pr >  t   <.0001	708		

# Least Squares Means for Effect trt

			_	•			
			Difference Between 95% Confidence Limits for			for	
	i	j	Means LSMean(i)-LSMean(j)				
	1	2	5.1	90668	4.7459	65 5.635	372
					S System		hursday,
June 19, 2003 5					1		<b>.</b> ,
Obs	sub	per	seq	trt	amt	amtpermg	lnamtpermg
1	17	2	1	IV2	62.79	572.902	6.35071
2	18	1	2	IV2	51.89	484.501	6.18312
3	19	2	1	IV2	60.66	551.956	6.31347
4	20	1	2	IV2	58.37	543.482	6.29800
5	21	1	2	IV2	35.65	336.638	5.81901
6	22	2	1	IV2	37.90	355.869	5.87456
7	23	2	1	IV2	51.84	478.670	6.17101
8	24	1	2	IV2	37.07	341.974	5.83474
9	25	1	2	IV2	40.08	368.044	5.90820
10	26	2	1	IV2	43.85	398.274	5.98714
11	27	1	2	IV2	58.92	524.199	6.26187
12	28	2	1	IV2	41.76	402.312	5.99723
13	17	1	1	ORAL2	36.31	0.522	-0.65067
14	18	2	2	ORAL2	313.84	4.509	1.50612
15	19	1	1	ORAL2	243.50	3.499	1.25235
16	20	2	2	ORAL2	189.98	2.730	1.00415
17	21	2	2	ORAL2	297.53	4.275	1.45275
18	22	1	1	ORAL2	215.04	3.090	1.12806
19	23	1	1	ORAL2	77.53	1.114	0.10790
20	24	2	2	ORAL2	201.94	2.901	1.06521
21	25	2	2	ORAL2	127.19	1.827	0.60292
22	26	1	1	ORAL2	134.23	1.929	0.65679
23	27	2	2	ORAL2	238.23	3.423	1.23047
24	28	1	1	ORAL2	269.82	3.877	1.35499

20 Page(s) has been Withheld in Full as B4 (CCI/TS) immediately following this page

This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.

/s/

S.W. Johnny Lau 7/7/03 06:23:48 PM

BIOPHARMACEUTICS

Hae-Young Ahn 7/9/03 02:49:17 PM BIOPHARMACEUTICS